

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/542,110	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ANDO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Virginia Manoharan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Virginia Manoharan. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Marina Miller. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,6,12 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed to amend the claims with respect to 112 rejections, e.g., to provide structural relationship or connection between the internal mounting portion and the folded-back portion as amended, and to provide antecedent basis for claims 12 and 14 in order to place the case in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Virginia Manoharan/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797